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FOREWORD

River Mahanadi, travelling a distance of nearly 857 km through Central and Eastern India has diverse ecological features with tremendous fishery potential. The information regarding ecological status and fisheries of this river system is lacking except a few references from some stretches only. Practically, no detailed studies have so far been made on this very important river system. In order to fill up this gap, the Institute took up an exploratory survey of river Mahanadi in relation to its ecology and fisheries covering the entire stretch from origin to sea-mouth.

I am sure that the valuable data thus collected and documented here would give an in-depth information of the Mahanadi system besides providing various conservation measures to protect and improve the fishery of the river.
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INTRODUCTION

River Mahanadi originates at a height of 660 m above MSL in the Sihawa hills of Kanker basin (20°20' N and 82°30'E) located in the North of Paragon plateau and Bastar Hills at the extreme South West corner of Raipur district near Pharsiya village (Chhattisgarh). It traverses a distance of nearly 857 km before opening into the Bay of Bengal at Paradip (Orissa). The river has a vast drainage area 1,41,589 km², of which nearly 75,042 km² fall in Chhattisgarh, 65,556 km² in Orissa, 708 km² in Bihar and 283 km² in Maharashtra. The river basin offers a large cultivable area of 7994 thousand hectares, of which 51.0%, 48.4%, 0.5% and 0.1% are the shares of different states respectively. From its origin to the sea mouth of the river, more than 78 tributaries get confluened directly and 22 indirectly, the important among them are Katjuri, Tel, Ong, Ib, Mand, Hasdeo, Tonk, Seonath, Pairi etc. It has a maximum discharge rate of 44,740 million m³ (MCM) and after receiving water (MCM) from various tributaries like Seonath (13,073), Hasdeo (5,389), Tonk (1850), Mand (3,246), Ib (9,003), Ong (2,220) and Tel (11,716) and finally records an annual flow rate of 66,640 MCM at the sea mouth. As the river advances towards sea forming many meanderings on its way, it changes its course sharply more than ten times to provide wider basin area. The most important reservoir constructed across the river is Hirakud near Sambalpur (Orissa) besides a few other reservoirs in the upper stretch.

Ecological status and fisheries of many rivers like Ganga, Narmada, Godavari, Yamuna, Krishna, Ghaghras, Sone, Brahmaputra etc. have been studied by various workers (Bilgrami and Dutta Munshi, 1985; Sinha et al., 1998; Dey, 1999; Khan, 1999; Shankaran Unni, 1996; Karamchandani et al., 1967; Anon, 1997; 1998; 2000; Pathak 1988 & 1999 etc.). But the information regarding the ecology and productivity of river Mahanadi is very limited. Some studies were made on fish and fisheries of the river in selected stretches as early as 1940 (Hora) and 1955 (Job et al.).

Thus, an urgent need was felt to have a detailed knowledge of the ecology and production dynamics of the entire Mahanadi system in order to understand various unknown facts and different ongoing ecological processes. With a view to bridge the gap of knowledge, a detailed exploratory survey of river Mahanadi was conducted from origin to sink during 1996-97. The present communication is the modest attempt in this regard to know the status of present ecological condition of river Mahanadi and its fisheries.

THE MAHANADI SYSTEM

The basin

The river Mahanadi, sandwiched between Sihawa hills in the north of Paragon plateau and Bastar hills in the south-west corner of Raipur district, further moves northwards and slopes down to a height of 300 m asl in the Mahanadi basin. After passing through Bilaspur district before entering Orissa, it continues eastward journey to Hirakud reservoir receiving run-off from Chhuri and Udaipur hills as well as Raigarh and Korba basins. Near Hirakud reservoir, south flowing tributaries bring washings from Sundergarh hills, Himgir and Tharuguda basins. After turning towards south, the river leaves its course through plateau and plains and plunges into the forest and rocky terrains improving its flow through the inflow from the catchment area of Sonabara Plateau, Gandhmardan Parbat and Balangir and Kalahandi basins in the west and Kondamal hills in the south.

The river then makes its way through boulders and sandbars to Sonepur and takes an eastward turn loosing its altitude further to 150 m. At this stage the river remains flanked by Tikapara range and Athmallik hills on the left and Kondamal hills in the right. Reaching Dholpur the river
enters the Eastern Ghats and passes through 22.4 km long narrow gorge known as Satkosia gorge. Approaching last laps of its journey through plains it flows between Kanaka hills in the left and Nayagarh hills in the right. The river valley is quite wide (8 km) with alternating flat sandy banks and deeper stiff rocky banks. Again the valley is strewn with boulders, sandbars and anastomosing water courses channels creating many blind alleys of the branching river. Finally, the river enters the deltaic region with extremely shallow sandy beds and a bit deeper muddy stretches besides the tide facing funnel-shaped mouth connected to adjoining estuaries through a few cracks. The river also receives washings from the Malaya Giri.

**Basin Soil**

The river near origin is composed of silty loam soil, which on moving northwards and eastwards turned into sandy loam and then to a loamy texture. After Hirakud, the river basin has sandy loam and then silty loam soil. Near Sonepur, the river basin comes across clay loam soil. Beyond the Eastern ghat stretch, the basin forms silty loam and then sandy loam in the middle. After Cuttack, the basin soil has only clay loam texture.

**Reservoirs and deep pools**

The presence of deep pools within the stream is helpful not only for providing shelters to the fishes, especially to the large ones, during dry seasons but also protects them from being washed down with flood waters. Further, such pools reduce the load of pollutants (if any) through dilution and act as silt trap to clear the water flowing through the river. As the river flows down it passes through Dudhawa reservoir near Sihawa and Gangrel reservoir near Dhamtari. Besides these two reservoirs there are seven more on its tributaries till the river reaches Hirakud. The details of nine reservoirs are given in Table 1.

**Table 1: Reservoirs under Mahanadi river system**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the reservoir</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Catchment area (km²)</th>
<th>Gross capacity (Mm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Dudhawa</td>
<td>Near Sihawa on Mahanadi</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ravishankar Sagar (Gangrel)</td>
<td>Near Dhamtari on Mahanadi</td>
<td>3690</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Murumsilli</td>
<td>On Silyari nadi, a right tributary</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Sondur</td>
<td>On Sondur nadi, a left tributary</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Sikasar</td>
<td>On Pairi river, a right tributary</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Tandula</td>
<td>On Tandula river, a branch of Seonath tributary</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Gondli</td>
<td>On Gondli river, a branch of Seonath tributary</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Kharkhara</td>
<td>On Kharkhara nadi, a branch of Seonath tributary</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Hasdeo</td>
<td>On Hasdeo tributary</td>
<td>6730</td>
<td>3417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Hirakud</td>
<td>On Mahanadi</td>
<td>83400</td>
<td>8316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ecological Status and Production Dynamics of River Mahanadi – Bulletin: 149 • 2*
The formation of dams and barrages has adversely affected the natural flow of the river, which has become shallower at least up to Sirpur. After Sirpur, the river depth increases above one meter and on its way to Hirakud creates a number of deep pools, the details of which are given in Table 2.

**Table 2: Deep pools under Mahanadi river system**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deep pool</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Malpuri</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Mohan</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pindhi</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Dhamni</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Parsada</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Narayanpur</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Markadi</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Pahenda</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Paizer</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Devarghatta</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Jaitpur</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Chandrapur</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Pasid</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above thirteen pools locally known as *Dahars* offer great scope for fishing in the area. The Hirakud reservoir extends 80 km in length towards Mahanadi and 50 km towards river Ib but at present it has shrunk. Below Hirakud reservoir, a tortuous pool (11.5-12.0 m deep) forms an important summer resort for many large fishes. The extension of the pool is noticed up to Durgapalli. This stretch of the pool is 4 km and more than 200 m wide with an average depth of 4.5 - 7.5 m. Near Sambalpur, the river is broken into small shallow (1.0-1.5 m) pool like 5-6 km stretches. A narrow pool of 10-13 m deep cut into a wedge-shaped channel through gorge (900 m) and forming good fishing zone exists 2 km downstream of Shankhla. About 56 km downstream of the dam a huge lake like pool (7.5 km²) exists. Abundant shelter and food for fishes are available here. Just above Kamaldhi, near Kutri, a deep pool declared as fish sanctuary is supposed to be largest in Asia. In the downstretch after Baideswar, the river does not have any deep pool but 3-5 m depth strong current (>5 km hr⁻¹) and sandy bed provide ample scope for fishing activity. The stretch between Mundali barrage and Cuttack barrage a deep pool like condition prevails with low current and depth more than 5 m having sandy bed. The area is productive from fishery point of view.

**Climate**

Entire river basin comes under > 1000 mm/yr. rainfall zone and moderately warm belt (20.0-32.5°C). The average rainfall during spring, summer and rainy seasons is uniformly 75, 75 and 1000 mm respectively. But during winter it varies from 50 to 250 mm in the upper and middle zone and then to 500 mm in the down stretch. The temperature ranged from 20-25°C during spring, 30.0-32.5°C in summer, 25-30°C during monsoon and less than 25°C in winter season. On an average the lower basin is hit by two major and two minor cyclones annually.
Study Area

A rapid survey of the entire Mahanadi system right from its origin to sea mouth was conducted during 1995-96. The complete river was divided into three stretches.

1. Upper stretch: Origin to Hirakud dam
2. Middle stretch: Durgapalli (Sambalpur) to Narsinghpur
3. Down stretch: Below Narsinghpur to sea mouth

With a view to get year round information, the three stretches were sampled thrice (Post-monsoon, Pre-monsoon and Monsoon seasons). Simultaneous sampling was carried out by three separate teams in these upper, middle and lower stretches of the Mahanadi river systems.

Upper stretch was sampled at twelve sites:

Middle stretch was sampled at sixteen sites:

Lower stretch was sampled at nine sites:
9. Paradip

The three stretches and various sampling centres are shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1: Map of River Mahanadi showing different Sampling Centres
FACTORS AFFECTING BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTIVITY

The biological productivity of any water body mainly depends on its environment. The abundance, distribution and functioning of biotic communities, the production potential and the patterns of energy utilization all depend on the morphometirc and edaphic characters. In a fluviatile system like rivers the depth, current velocity, siltation rate, sediment and water quality and dynamics of chemical constituents all play key role in the qualitative and quantitative distribution of biotic communities, their whole life cycle and ultimately the final productivity of the system. Thus, in order to evaluate the productivity of the river a critical examination of these variables is the primary requirement.

1. Depth and current velocity
2. Sediment quality
3. Water quality
4. Biotic variables

Depth and Current Velocity

The river system as a whole has an average depth ranging between 2.5 to 5.0 m in different seasons although at some places it had depth less than 0.5 m and high than 15 m. In the upper, middle and lower stretches the minimum and maximum average depths were 94.6 and 733.3 cm; 58.3 and 1270.0 cm; 240.0 and 1000.0 cm respectively.

The details of river depth and water current velocities at different sampling sites are depicted in Table 3.

Table 3: Water depth and current velocities at different sites of river Mahanadi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Depth of water (cm)</th>
<th>Current velocity (km hr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper stretch</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sihawa</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhamtari</td>
<td>245.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajim</td>
<td>175.0</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapajhar</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belsondha</td>
<td>191.7</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirpur</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seorinarayan</td>
<td>241.6</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandrapur</td>
<td>333.3</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahadeopalli</td>
<td>283.3</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surajgarh</td>
<td>283.3</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chikhli</td>
<td>450.0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamdei</td>
<td>733.3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>272.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Middle stretch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Current Velocity (km/hr)</th>
<th>Sediment Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durgapalli</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambalpur</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>0.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sankhla</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>1.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhama</td>
<td>195.0</td>
<td>1.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laharsara</td>
<td>240.0</td>
<td>1.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binka</td>
<td>208.0</td>
<td>1.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawatpalli</td>
<td>207.3</td>
<td>2.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonepur</td>
<td>257.3</td>
<td>1.854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baunsuni</td>
<td>261.7</td>
<td>2.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baudh</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td>1.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurdi</td>
<td>215.0</td>
<td>1.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbhanga</td>
<td>206.7</td>
<td>1.891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikerpara</td>
<td>1270.6</td>
<td>1.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamaladih (Kutri)</td>
<td>293.3</td>
<td>1.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokaloba</td>
<td>240.7</td>
<td>2.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narsinghpur</td>
<td>237.0</td>
<td>1.858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>263.9</td>
<td>1.613</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lower stretch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Current Velocity (km/hr)</th>
<th>Sediment Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sasang</td>
<td>466.7</td>
<td>2.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baideshwar</td>
<td>650.0</td>
<td>1.660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankigarh</td>
<td>490.0</td>
<td>1.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarnapur</td>
<td>466.7</td>
<td>1.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuttack</td>
<td>266.7</td>
<td>1.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirtsal</td>
<td>253.3</td>
<td>1.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taldanda</td>
<td>240.0</td>
<td>0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kujang</td>
<td>643.3</td>
<td>1.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradip</td>
<td>1000.0</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>497.4</td>
<td>1.390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current velocity was low in the entire upper stretch (negligible to 0.9 km hr⁻¹). In the middle stretch, the velocity except Durgapalli and Sambalpur was in the range of 1.290 to 2.247 km hr⁻¹. In Durgapalli, the current velocity was negligible while in Sambalpur it was 0.48 km hr⁻¹. In the lower stretch, the current velocity was in the range 0.84 to 2.26 km hr⁻¹ being minimum in Paradip and maximum in Sasang. The average velocity in the three stretches were 0.51 km hr⁻¹, 1.613 km hr⁻¹ and 1.39 km hr⁻¹ respectively.

**Sediment Quality**

**Upper Stretch**

The sediment quality of different stations between Sihawa and Tamdei in upper stretch is presented in Table 4. The sediment was dominated by sand 92.0 to 96.0% (av. 93.4%) while the contribution of silt and clay ranged from 2.7 to 5.3% and 1.3 to 3.4% respectively. The percentage of sand was more or less similar in all the stations. Sediment was neutral to alkaline in reaction with pH.
• ranging from 7.02 to 7.6 (av. 7.27) and sp. conductance 0.129 to 0.298 mS cm⁻¹ (av. 0.222 mS cm⁻¹). Free calcium carbonate was medium to low ranging from 1.5 to 4.08 %. The nutrient status of the sediment with respect to both available phosphorus (0.59 to 3.88 mg/100g) and nitrogen (2.8 to 10.4 mg/100g) was generally poor with few exceptions. Organic carbon, which is an index of productivity, was also poor to moderate (0.35 to 1.22%), the only exception being Tamdei where it was comparatively higher.

Table 4: Sediment quality of river Mahanadi in upper stretch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Physical composition</th>
<th>Chemical composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sihawa</td>
<td>94.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhamtari</td>
<td>93.30</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajim</td>
<td>94.70</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapajhar</td>
<td>94.30</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belsondha</td>
<td>92.70</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirpur</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seorinarayan</td>
<td>94.00</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandrapur</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahadeopalli</td>
<td>94.30</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surajgarh</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chikhli</td>
<td>91.60</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamdei</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>93.40</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Middle Stretch

The sediment quality at different stations between Durgapalli and Narsinghpur in the middle stretch of the river is presented in Table 5. Like the upper stretch sand remained the dominant component 94.0 to 99.0 % (av. 97.5%) with silt and clay contributing 0.4 to 4.0% (av. 1.75%) and 0.0 to 2.0% (0.75%) respectively. The percentage composition of all the three physical components remained almost same in the entire stretch with few exceptions. Sediment was near neutral in reaction with pH ranging from 6.7 to 7.2 (av. 7.0) and sp. conductance 0.047 to 0.093 mS cm⁻¹ (av. 0.069 mS cm⁻¹). The values of conductance were comparatively much lower in this stretch (68.7 mS cm⁻¹) when compared to those in the upper stretch (221.7 mS cm⁻¹). The available nutrients, phosphorus, (0.62 to 1.05 mg/100g) and nitrogen (4.8 to 7.6 mg/100g) were poor throughout the stretch. Organic carbon, which reflects the productivity of sediment was also poor to moderate (0.34 to 0.69%). Free calcium carbonate remained low in the entire stretch (1.0 to 2.62%). It needs to be mentioned that the river is flowing through hills and forest in this stretch and as a result it must be carrying large amount of organic carbon by the influx of water through catchment area but due to high contribution of sand (av. 97.5%) the materials brought could not be retained in the sediment for longer period as being washed out. Similar observations have also been made in many other rivers.
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Table 5: Sediment quality of river Mahanadi in middle stretch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Physical composition</th>
<th>Chemical composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durgapalli</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambalpur</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shankhala</td>
<td>98.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhama</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laharsara</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binka</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keotpalli</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonepur</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baunsuni</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baudh</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurdi</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbhanga</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikerpara</td>
<td>94.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kutri</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokaloba</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narsinghpur</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>97.50</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lower Stretch

The sediment quality parameters at different stations of the river are presented in Table 6. Sediment in this stretch also was dominated by sand, 79.7 to 92.0% (av. 85.8%) with silt and clay ranging between 1.3 to 8.3% (av. 5.4%) and 4.3 to 13.9% (av. 8.8%) respectively. The clay content was comparatively higher in this stretch when compared to upper and lower stretches with corresponding decline in sand. Sediment was generally alkaline in reaction in this stretch with pH ranging from 7.2 to 8.03, the only exception being Kujang where the pH was slightly towards acidic side (pH 6.79). The sp. conductance of sediment ranged from 0.077 to 0.168 mS cm⁻¹ between Sasang and Taldanda but in Kujang and Paradip, it was as high as 0.690 to 3.200 mS cm⁻¹ reflecting the esturine character of the river. Free calcium carbonate was low in all he stations ranging from 0.53 to 1.07%. Both the available nutrients were comparatively more, nitrogen 6.27 to 16.6 mg/100g (av. 10.3 mg/100g) and phosphorus 0.61 to 2.97 mg/100g (av.1.68 mg/100g) respectively. In fact, the phosphorus content was slightly better than the other stretches with values sometimes going up to 5.39 mg/100g, even available nitrogen was 23.0 mg/100g at times. The organic carbon was invariably low in this stretch also 0.178 to 0.537%. In fact, the carbon content was comparatively lower in this stretch (av. 0.308%) than the other two stretches.

In general the soil texture of the entire river was sandy except some stations of the lower stretch where the clay content was comparatively high. From large number of observations it has been...
found that slightly alkaline soils with pH 7.5 to 8.5, available nitrogen between 25.0 to 75.0 mg/100g or more, available phosphorus 3 to 6 mg/100g or more and organic carbon more than 1% are considered to be productive. If these values of productive soils are compared with the value observed in Mahanadi it can be concluded that the river is low productive in respect of organic carbon and available nutrients. But in such flowing systems the role of catchment becomes more important and the flora and fauna are mainly supported by the status of the catchment rather than the sediment alone.

**Table 6: Sediment quality of river Mahanadi in lower stretch**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Physical composition</th>
<th>Chemical composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasang</td>
<td>87.70</td>
<td>6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baideshwar</td>
<td>83.80</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankigarh</td>
<td>77.80</td>
<td>8.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarnapur</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuttack</td>
<td>90.50</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirtal</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taldanda</td>
<td>84.80</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kujang</td>
<td>79.70</td>
<td>7.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradip</td>
<td>91.20</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>85.80</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Water Quality**

**Upper Stretch**

The water quality parameters between Sihawa and Tamdei in the upper stretch are presented in Table 7. Among the physical parameters, water temperature was almost same with minor fluctuations (24.7 to 30.2°C) being maximum in Sihawa and minimum in Mahadeopalli. The other parameters, transparency, on which the penetration of light or euphotic zone depends ranged from 30.3 to 158.0 cm. Water was more clear between Sihawa and Seorinarayan (80.0 to 152.0 cm) and then transparency dropped suddenly at Tamdei, the water transparency increased sharply (158.0 cm) at Chandrapur reaching minimum at Mahadeopalli. Again at Tamdei, the water transparency increased sharply (158.0 cm). In fact, water was transparent up to bottom on many occasions and the entire column was under euphotic zone. Water was alkaline in reaction throughout the stretch with pH ranging from 8.07 to 8.46. Maximum pH was observed at Dhamtari while minimum was at Mahadeopalli. Among the dissolved gases free carbon dioxide was absent on many occasions and when present its value ranged between 0.32 and 1.26 mg/l. Dissolved oxygen was quite rich throughout the stretch with average values ranged from 6.3 to 8.45 mg/l (av. 7.36 mg/l). Total alkalinity, conductance and dissolved solids all were reasonably high throughout the stretch with values ranging from 66.8 to 146.2 mg/l, 132 to 322 mS cm⁻¹ and 68.0 to
164.0 mg/l respectively. All the parameters were maximum at the origin point Sihawa, which showed sudden drop at Dhamtari, the next station. An increasing trend although of lesser magnitude was observed up to Seorinarayan and then declined reaching minimum at Mahadeopalli. Among the other chemical parameters calcium, magnesium and hardness ranged from 13.42 to 33.85 mg/l (av. 20.32 mg/l), 5.78 to 10.67 mg/l (av. 8.59 mg/l) and 60.7 to 128.3 mg/l (av. 86.3 mg/l) respectively. The zonal variation in all the three parameters followed the same pattern as that of alkalinity. Water showed comparatively much concentration of chloride in this stretch 32.70 to 42.46 mg/l (av. 36.9 mg/l). Maximum chloride was observed at the origin Sihawa and minimum at Tamdei with an overall declining trend from upper to lower stations with few exceptions. Though sediment was poor in organic carbon, water showed comparatively much higher values of dissolved organic matter 0.98 to 1.23 mg/l (av. 1.11 mg/l).

Table 7: Physico-chemical characteristics of water in river Mahanadi (upper stretch)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Transp. (cm)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Sp.cond. (μS cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>DO (mg/l)</th>
<th>CO₂ (mg/l)</th>
<th>Alkalinity (mg/l)</th>
<th>TDS (mg/l)</th>
<th>Ca²⁺ (mg/l)</th>
<th>Mg²⁺ (mg/l)</th>
<th>Hardness (mg/l)</th>
<th>Chloride (mg/l)</th>
<th>DOM (mg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sihawa</td>
<td>30.20</td>
<td>152.00</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>146.20</td>
<td>164.00</td>
<td>33.85</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>128.30</td>
<td>42.46</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhamtari</td>
<td>28.70</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>73.70</td>
<td>78.60</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>68.70</td>
<td>38.70</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajim</td>
<td>27.30</td>
<td>140.00</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>76.20</td>
<td>84.00</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>63.30</td>
<td>38.70</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapajhar</td>
<td>27.30</td>
<td>128.40</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>88.70</td>
<td>96.20</td>
<td>18.36</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>191.50</td>
<td>58.60</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belosondha</td>
<td>27.30</td>
<td>130.20</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>83.90</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>24.30</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>84.30</td>
<td>37.60</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirpur</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>148.00</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>85.60</td>
<td>92.80</td>
<td>19.80</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>86.30</td>
<td>34.30</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soorinarayan</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td>112.80</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>113.10</td>
<td>124.00</td>
<td>24.27</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>102.20</td>
<td>40.20</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandrapur</td>
<td>26.30</td>
<td>31.70</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>81.40</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>18.28</td>
<td>10.30</td>
<td>89.90</td>
<td>35.20</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahadeopalli</td>
<td>24.70</td>
<td>30.30</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>66.80</td>
<td>68.00</td>
<td>13.42</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>60.70</td>
<td>32.70</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surajgarth</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>44.70</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>17.37</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>81.90</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>16.60</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>81.40</td>
<td>37.60</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chikhli</td>
<td>27.70</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>89.70</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>21.08</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>94.24</td>
<td>35.70</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamdei</td>
<td>26.90</td>
<td>158.00</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>84.40</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>19.16</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>84.60</td>
<td>32.70</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td>101.26</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>89.77</td>
<td>97.80</td>
<td>20.32</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>86.30</td>
<td>36.90</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TDS= Total dissolved salt; DOM= Dissolved organic matter

Middle Stretch

Water quality of river between Durgapalli and Narsinghpur in the middle stretch has been presented in the Table 8. Temperature showed only minor fluctuation in the entire stretch (25.0 to 28.0°C). Clarity of water reflecting the extent of light penetration was of higher order with Secchi depth ranged from 63.5 cm (Kurdi) to 122.7 cm (Sonepur) with an average of 89.7 cm. On many occasions water was found to be transparent up to bottom. The station-wise variation did not show any regular trend. Water was always alkaline in reaction with pH ranging from 7.7 to 8.0 (av. 7.8) but the value of pH in this stretch was comparatively lower than the upper stretch. Among the dissolved gases, free carbon dioxide was found to be absent on many occasions and if present it varied from 0.32 to 1.86 mg/l (av. 0.88 mg/l), while oxygen was in the range 7.2 to 9.6 mg/l (av. 8.0 mg/l). Total alkalinity, conductance and total dissolved solids were quite high with values ranged at 63.0 to 77.0 mg/l (av. 69.9 mg/l), 1481 to 1785 μS cm⁻¹ (av. 165 μS cm⁻¹) and 73.8 to 88.3 mg/l (av. 82.4 mg/l) respectively. Although these parameters did not show much variations between the stretches, but an increasing trend could be observed from upstream to down stretch with minimum at Sambalpur and maximum at Narsinghpur. Among other chemical parameters calcium, magnesium and total hardness ranged from 16.1 to 21.6 mg/l, 3.8 to 6.7 mg/l and 60.2 to 78.7 mg/l respectively. Silicate and chloride ranged from 6.4 to 9.0 mg/l (av. 7.1 mg/l) and 23.8 to
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30.6 mgl⁻¹ (av. 26.2 mgl⁻¹) respectively with no definite trend of variations between inter-stations. Chloride content in the middle stretch was comparatively lower than upper stretch. The dissolved organic matter was quite high in the entire stretch ranged between 1.13 and 1.69 mgl⁻¹ (av. 1.26 mgl⁻¹) inspite of the low values of organic carbon in the sediment phase. as well as the nutrient status of water in respect of nitrate (0.022 to 0.078 mgl⁻¹) and phosphate (0.003 to 0.005 mgl⁻¹) in this stretch also.

Table 8: Physico-chemical characteristics of water of river Mahanadi (middle stretch)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Transp. (cm)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Sp.cond. (µS cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>DO (mgl⁻¹)</th>
<th>CO₂ (mgl⁻¹)</th>
<th>Alkalinity (mgl⁻¹)</th>
<th>TDS (mgl⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ca⁺⁺ (mgl⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mg⁺⁺ (mgl⁻¹)</th>
<th>Hardness (mgl⁻¹)</th>
<th>Chloride (mgl⁻¹)</th>
<th>DOM (mgl⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durgapalli</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>79.50</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>78.80</td>
<td>16.10</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>64.00</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambalpur</td>
<td>26.30</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>73.80</td>
<td>16.60</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>60.20</td>
<td>29.41</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shankhala</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>64.30</td>
<td>78.10</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>60.80</td>
<td>26.14</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhamal</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>109.70</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>67.10</td>
<td>78.10</td>
<td>17.40</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>60.30</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laharsara</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>92.70</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>64.30</td>
<td>77.20</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>59.20</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binka</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>88.50</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>82.20</td>
<td>17.24</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>64.70</td>
<td>24.60</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keelipalli</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>69.40</td>
<td>81.20</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>67.60</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonapur</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td>122.30</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>70.50</td>
<td>82.50</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>71.00</td>
<td>27.70</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baunsuni</td>
<td>25.70</td>
<td>78.50</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>76.60</td>
<td>82.80</td>
<td>18.52</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>64.50</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baudh</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>110.80</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>74.80</td>
<td>87.90</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>71.80</td>
<td>31.80</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurdi</td>
<td>26.30</td>
<td>63.50</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>68.10</td>
<td>83.40</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>69.10</td>
<td>34.31</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbhanga</td>
<td>25.30</td>
<td>73.70</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>74.70</td>
<td>86.80</td>
<td>20.74</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>74.70</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikerpara</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>73.50</td>
<td>86.30</td>
<td>18.52</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>71.80</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kutri</td>
<td>28.20</td>
<td>76.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>84.40</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>69.30</td>
<td>27.80</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokaloba</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>83.30</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>73.50</td>
<td>84.60</td>
<td>18.51</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>73.30</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narsinghpur</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>94.00</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>77.00</td>
<td>88.20</td>
<td>19.16</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>78.70</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td>89.70</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>69.90</td>
<td>82.40</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>67.60</td>
<td>28.37</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lower Stretch

The water quality parameters of river Mahanadi between Sasang and Paradip in the lower stretch are presented in Table 9. The water temperature ranged from 28.5 to 30.3°C with minor fluctuations. The transparency of water was comparatively higher in the stretch between Sasang and Taldanda with Secchi depth ranged from 62.1 to 84.9 cm. In the stretch between Kujang and Paradip, transparency showed sudden drop to 35.6 and 47.6 cm. Water was always alkaline in reaction with pH varied between 8.04 and 8.26 and the values were similar in the entire stretch. Among the dissolved gases, oxygen was quite rich in the entire lower stretch with the values ranged between 6.7 and 7.9 mgl⁻¹ while free carbon dioxide remained absent on many occasions and if present, it ranged from 0.5 to 0.8 mgl⁻¹. Alkalinity values fluctuated between 71.5 and 86.7 mgl⁻¹ with slight increase in the down stretch. Other water quality parameters including conductance, dissolved solids, calcium, magnesium and total hardness ranged from 169 to 188 mS cm⁻¹, 84.0 and 93.4 mgl⁻¹, 16.55 to 17.69 mgl⁻¹, 4.5 to 4.89 mgl⁻¹ and 60.1 to 63.5 mgl⁻¹ respectively in the stretch between Sasang to Taldanda.
### Table 9: Physico-chemical characteristics of water in river Mahanadi (lower stretch)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Transp. (cm)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Sp.cond. (μS cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>DO (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>CO₂ (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Alkalinity (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>TDS (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ca⁺⁺ (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mg⁺⁺ (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Hardness (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Chloride (mg l⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sasang</td>
<td>28.60</td>
<td>84.90</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>71.50</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>16.62</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>60.30</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baideshwar</td>
<td>28.70</td>
<td>65.70</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>76.50</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>16.82</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>60.50</td>
<td>5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankagarh</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>72.60</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>60.10</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarnapur</td>
<td>29.50</td>
<td>74.00</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>74.50</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>16.88</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>61.40</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuttack</td>
<td>29.50</td>
<td>62.30</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>73.30</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirtal</td>
<td>30.50</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>79.50</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>17.69</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>64.30</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Talda  
| Kujang        | 30.60     | 69.00        | 8.25 | 188               | 7.50        | 0.60        | 80.00             | 93          | 17.55        | 4.97         | 63.50          | 10.00           |
| Paradip        | 29.50     | 35.60        | 8.04 | 9468              | 6.90        | 0.60        | 86.20             | 46.696      | 17.30        | 4.68         | 61.74          | 5.73            |
| Average        | 29.38     | 63.02        | 8.19 | 177               | 7.35        | 0.54        | 77.87             | 88          | 17.05        | 4.66         | 61.74          | 5.73            |

* Excluding the values at Kujang & Paradip

### Nutrient Status of Water in River Mahanadi

Among dissolved nutrients, nitrate and phosphate have been widely studied and their role and importance in aquatic productivity have been well recognized. Dissolved inorganic nitrogen in the range of 0.20 to 0.50 mg l⁻¹ may be considered favourable for fish productivity of which ammonium and nitrate forms constitute the readily available source of nitrogen to fish food organisms. Soluble reactive phosphorus in water in the form of phosphate for maintaining aquatic productivity ranges from 0.05 to 0.20 mg l⁻¹. Silicate is the available form of silicon in natural waters and acts as structural constituent of diatoms and many sponges.

In the upper stretch, nutrient status of water (Table 10) was very poor with nitrate and phosphate values in the range 0.022 to 0.047 mg l⁻¹ and 0.002 to 0.009 mg l⁻¹ respectively. However, Silicate-silicon was moderate and more or less similar in all the stations with little fluctuations (5.7 to 7.3 mg l⁻¹).

### Table 10: Nutrient status of water of river Mahanadi (upper stretch)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Nitrate-N (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Phosphate-P (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Silicate-Si (mg l⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sihawa</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>7.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhamtari</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajim</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapajhar</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belsodna</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirpur</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seorinarayan</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandrapur</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahadeopalli</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surajgarh</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chikhli</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamdei</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the middle stretch, Silicate ranged from 6.4 to 9.0 mg/l (av. 7.1 mg/l) with no definite trend of variations between inter-stations. Nutrient status of water in respect of nitrate (0.022 to 0.078 mg/l) and phosphate (0.003 to 0.005 mg/l) in this stretch also was poor (Table 11).

**Table 11 : Nutrient status of water of river Mahanadi (middle stretch)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Nitrate-N (mg/l)</th>
<th>Phosphate-P (mg/l)</th>
<th>Silicate-Si (mg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durgapalli</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambalpur</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shankhala</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhama</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laharsara</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binka</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>6.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keotpalli</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonepur</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>6.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baunsuni</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>8.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baudh</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurdi</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbhanga</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikerpara</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>6.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kutri</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokaloba</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narsinghpur</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.043</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.004</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.50</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the lower stretch, concentration of dissolved nutrients in water (Table 12) was improved upon compared to other two stretches in the upstream. Nitrate-N and phosphate-P fluctuated to the tune of 0.000 to 0.110 and 0.003 to 0.011 mg/l respectively while silicate-Si ranged between 8.00-15.64 mg/l in this lower stretch of river Mahanadi.

**Table 12 : Nutrient status of water of river Mahanadi (lower stretch)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Nitrate-N (mg/l)</th>
<th>Phosphate-P (mg/l)</th>
<th>Silicate-Si (mg/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sasang</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>13.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baideshwar</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>12.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankigarh</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>12.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarnapur</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>12.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuttack</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>12.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirtal</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>15.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taldanda</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>15.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kujang</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>10.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradip</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.053</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.007</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.71</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dynamics of Chemical Parameters

The dynamics of chemical constituents in any aquatic ecosystem is reflected in the diurnal variation. The reactions taking place in the two phases of the day are:

- \(6\text{CO}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{C}_6\text{H}_12\text{O}_6 + 6\text{O}_2\)
- \(2\text{HCO}_3^- \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{CO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}\)
- \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_12\text{O}_6 + 6\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 6\text{CO}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}\)
- \(\text{CO}_2 + \text{CO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{HCO}_3^-\)

As a result of the light reactions during day time the water body observes a gradual increase in oxygen due to its production, decline in bicarbonate and increase in carbonate and pH. On the other hand, due to the impact of dark reaction in the night, the water body shows a gradual decrease in dissolved oxygen owing to its consumption, increase of bicarbonate and decrease in carbonate and pH. The quantitative assessment of the changes brought out by these two opposing processes can be used to evaluate the productivity of the aquatic system as the cycle are directly linked with the production and consumption processes. In fact, the diel cycle of chemical parameters especially dissolved oxygen has been used for the classification of different ecosystems in terms of productivity.

Diurnal studies were made in three stretches of river Mahanadi at six hourly basis and a pooled data of the three stretches have been presented in Table 13. Water temperature showed an increase of 11°C, 7.4°C and 7.2°C in the upper, middle and lower stretches respectively while dissolved oxygen (mg/l) showed an increase of 4.1, 3.1 and 3.7 in the three stretches during day time. Similarly, pH and carbonate also showed an increase of 0.8 and 15.0 mg/l in the upper stretch, 0.6 and 5.5 mg/l in the middle and 2.0 and 5.5 mg/l in the lower stretch respectively. On the contrary, bicarbonate (mg/l) showed a variation of 44.3, 22.3 and 25.9 respectively with the progress of the day and sharp declining trend was observed during night time. Free carbon dioxide was generally absent in the upper and middle stretch and a slight declining trend was observed only once in the lower stretch. The magnitude of diel change showed considerable spatio-temporal variations. The intensity was higher where the water was more static with feeble current. On some occasions, the change was very sharp due to favourable conditions. If the intensity of variations in different stretches was used to evaluate the relative productivity of the Mahanadi as a whole, it could be rightly pointed out that upper stretch, where the fluctuations were more in chemical parameters was more productive compared to the other two stretches. The diurnal change in the chemical parameters got reflected in the diurnal occurrence of plankton, which showed a fluctuation of 48 ul in the upper stretch, 168 ul in the middle and 169 ul in the lower stretch.

Table 13: Diel cycle of abiotic and biotic parameters of water in Mahanadi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Upper stretch</th>
<th>Middle stretch</th>
<th>Lower stretch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water temp. (°C)</td>
<td>20.3-31.3</td>
<td>23.8-31.2</td>
<td>24.6-31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO (mg/l)</td>
<td>5.1-9.2</td>
<td>6.2-9.3</td>
<td>6.8-8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.9-8.7</td>
<td>7.5-8.1</td>
<td>1.4-2.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free CO₂ (mg/l)</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1.1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Upper stretch</th>
<th>Middle stretch</th>
<th>Lower stretch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range of fluctuation</td>
<td>Magnitude</td>
<td>Range of fluctuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate (mg/l&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>2.2-17.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.5-8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate (mg/l&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>47.9-96.4</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>60.0-82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plankton (ul&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>69-117</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>95-263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In one season only
** Average of two seasons

### Biotic Variables

**Plankton**

As seen from the overall values, the plankton population fluctuated in a narrow range 106-136 ul<sup>1</sup> in all three stretches of river Mahanadi. Higher concentration of plankton was noticed at some of the centres like Harbhanga (598 ul<sup>1</sup>), Kurdi (581 ul<sup>1</sup>) and Tamdei (512 ul<sup>1</sup>). Plankton was poor during monsoon in all the three sectors as compared to pre- and post-monsoon periods (Fig. 2).

**Fig. 2: Abundance of plankton in Mahanadi**
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Studies indicated that phytoplankton (58.7-91.7%) dominated over zooplankton in the entire river stretch in all seasons excepting some centres like Dhamtari (upper stretch), Durgapalli, Sambalpur, Kutri and Kokaloba (middle stretch) where zooplankton was more being 54.2%, 59.5%, 58.1%, 71.7% and 52.0% respectively.

Among phytoplankton, Myxophyceae, Chlorophyceae and Bacillariophyceae were the main contributors whereas the occurrence of Desmidiaceae, Euglenophyta and Dinophyceae was insignificant. Among zooplankton, Copepoda and Protozoa dominated the entire upper stretch. Copepoda and Rotifera were important in middle and lower stretches (Fig. 3).

Various planktonic forms encountered during the course of the studies are listed below:

**Phytoplankton**


Euglenophyceae - Euglena sp., Phacus sp.

Dinophyceae - Ceratium sp., Peridinium sp.


Zooplankton

Copepoda - Cyclops sp., Diaptomus sp., Copepod nauplii


Ostracoda - Cypris sp.


Protozoa - Blepharisma sp., Bullinula sp., Centropyxix sp., Colpoda sp., Diffugia sp., Euglypha sp., Oxytricha sp., Spirotonum sp., Trinema sp.

Macrobenthos

Macrobenthos of the Mahanadi system in upper, middle and lower stretches ranged from 6 to 2217 nos m$^{-2}$ with an overall average 390 nos m$^{-2}$. While the maximum density was recorded at
Tamdei (2217 nos m\(^2\)) in upper stretch, the minimum was observed at Subarnapur (6 nos m\(^2\)) in lower stretch. Studies revealed that there was a gradual decline in macrobenthic population from upstream to downstream as well as from post-monsoon to monsoon months.

The zone above Hirakud reservoir had the richest macrobenthos, which drastically declined from a peak of 2217 nos m\(^2\) to a low value of 28 nos m\(^2\) at Durgapalli, a site just below the dam (Fig. 4).

Gastropods (39.8-60.6\%) were the most significant in all the three stretches. Higher concentration of gastropods was observed at Tirtal (94.0\%), Taldanda (90.2\%), Subarnapur (83.3\%), Bankigarh (73.3\%) in lower stretch, Sonepur (96.7\%), Durgapalli (88.9\%), Sambalpur (88.6\%), Dhama (71.9\%) in middle stretch and Sihawa (70.0\%) in upper stretch. The other centres rich in bivalves (>25\%) were Mahadeopalli (30.1\%), Sirpur (28.2\%), Chapajhar (25.9\%), Surajgarh (25.3\%) in upper stretch, Harbhanga (33.6\%) in middle stretch and Baideshwar (27.2\%) in lower stretch. Dipterans were
important at Chikhli (33.3%), Rajim (32.5%), Surajgarh (30.9%), Tamdei (30.4%), Seorinarayan (30.0%), Chapajhar (29.3%) and Dhamtari (27.2%) in upper stretch only, their occurrence was insignificant in middle and lower stretches. Odonates exhibited significant occurrence at Narsinghpur (33.8%) and nematodes at Kutri (66.3%), Tikerpara (63.3%), Baudh (27.9%) in middle stretch. The other macrobenthic fauna did not show any marked concentration in any of the three stretches. Among annelidian fauna of the macrobenthos, the representatives were solely oligochaetes for the stretch from origin to Taldanda. The sites namely, Sihawa in upper stretch, Durgapalli, Sambalpur and Dhama in middle stretch lacked the representation of any oligochaete or polychaeta worm.

Benthic molluscs dominated among the bottom biota of the entire river stretch. It was followed by dipterans in the upper stretch, nematodes in middle stretch and annelid worms in lower stretch of Mahanadi (Fig. 5). The common macrobenthic forms recorded from river Mahanadi are shown below:

**Mollusca**


**Pelecypoda** - Anodonta, Corbicula, Donax, Lamellidens, Ligumia, Masculium, Meretrix, Opalina, Parreysia, Pisidium, Siliqua, Sphaerium.

**Crustacea**

**Copepoda** - Cyclops, Harpacticus

**Ostracoda** - Cypris, Ilyocypris.

**Amphipoda** - Gammarus.

**Cladocera** - Chydorus.

**Decapoda** - Mysis.

**Insecta**

**Diptera** - Chaoborus, Chironomus, Culex, Culicoides, Helius, Lumbricillus, Probezzia, Tabanus, Tipula.

**Trichoptera** - Leptocerus, Limnephilus.

**Odonata** - Anax, Aphylla, Argia, Cordulegaster, Progomphus.

**Plecoptera** - Isogenus.

**Lepidoptera** - Elophila, Nymphula.

**Hemiptera** - Corixa, Laccotrephes.

**Ephemeroptera** - Caenis, Cloeon.

**Coleoptera** - Berosus, Eretes, Helocharas.

**Neuroptera** - Sialis.

**Annelida**

**Oligochaeta** - Chaetogaster, Lumbriculus, Nais, Stylaria, Tubifex.

**Polychaeta** - Diopatra, Nereis.
Fig. 6: Density of periphyton in Mahanadi
Fig. 7: Quality composition of periphyton in Mahanadi
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Helminthes
Nematoda - Chromodora, Microlaimus.
The species like Gyraulus, Lymnaea, Vitiparus, Corbicula, Lamellidens and Parreysia among molluscs; Chromonemus, Limnephilus, Argia, Isogenus and Elophila among entomological fauna and Chaetogastor, Lumbriculus among annelids are ubiquitous species for their presence in all the stretches of the Mahanadi river system.

Periphyton
In all the three sectors of Mahanadi, periphyton ranged from 15 to 9000 u cm\(^{-2}\) with an overall average 542 u cm\(^{-2}\). The upper stretch had the richest periphyton due to the presence of hard substrata like rocks and boulders. The periphytic growth was more at centres like Rajim (1080-9000 u cm\(^{-2}\)), Seorinarayan (1500-6500 u cm\(^{-2}\)), Tamdei (120-4590 u cm\(^{-2}\)), Chapajhar (1250-2380 u cm\(^{-2}\)) in the upper stretch, Baunsuni (106-3366 u cm\(^{-2}\)) in middle stretch and Sasang (104-2231 u cm\(^{-2}\)) in the lower stretch (Fig. 6).

Diatoms (41.2-69.4%) were significant in all the stretches followed by Green-algae (5.6-38.0%), Desmids (9.7-28.0%) and Blue-green algae (2.2-19.3%). The species like Navicula, Fragilaria, Synedra, Nitzschia, Gyrosigma (diatoms), Gonatozygon, Genicularia, Closterium (desmids), Spirogyra (green-algae) and Spirulina (blue-green algae) were available almost in the entire stretch round the year. The other periphytic forms had no uniform distribution during various seasons and at different sampling zones (Fig. 7).

The periphytic deposition was poor during monsoon months due to washing effects of the substrate surfaces by the turbulent and strong water current coupled with high turbidity. The periphytic concentration during post-monsoon periods increased with the advent of summer. The density was maximum during post-monsoon, which declined in pre-monsoon and monsoon months.

The following forms were recorded from the river Mahanadi:

- **Desmidiaceae**: Cosmarium sp., Staurastrum sp., Spirotaenia sp., Desmidium sp.
- **Chlorophyceae**: Microspora sp., Tribonema sp., Treubaria sp., Oedogonium sp., Protococcus sp., Ulothrix sp., Uronema sp., Binuclearia sp., Draparnaldiosis sp., Kirchneriella sp., Chaetophora sp., Hormidium sp., Basicladia sp., Cladophora sp., Zygnema sp.
- **Myxophyceae**: Oscillatoria sp., Anabaena sp.
- **Macrophytes**: Hydrophytes were available in the entire stretch of river Mahanadi excepting in monsoon months when the availability of these weeds was restricted to certain areas. In the upper stretch, six species of hydrophytes namely, Hydrilla verticillata, Vallisneria spiralis, Ceratophyllum demersum, Najas indica, Potamogeton crispus and Chara sp. were mainly encountered. The other aquatic plants like Marsilea quadrifoliata invaded Chapajhar/Surajgarh and Cyperus articulatus and Polygonum glabrum invaded Chandrapur, Mahadeopalli and Surajgarh stretches where some of the above mentioned weeds were also present. During pre-monsoon months Rajim (30.4 kgm\(^{-2}\)) was found to be choked with weeds due to low water level and poor flow. The shallow areas of the Hirakud
reservoir were also found to be infested with Ceratophyllum demersum, Najas Indica, Hydrilla verticillata and Chara sp. The confluence region of the reservoir with the Ib river and Baghra area were found to be choked up with dense aquatic vegetation, even impeding fishing activities. Mura area of the reservoir exhibited fair amount of Nitella sp. along with Spirogyra sp. at the margins up to 1.5-2.4 m depth.

In the middle stretch, very clear water with fast current, stony and sandy beds did not allow any macrovegetation to grow, leaving aside a few floating forms like Spirogyra sp. Thus, the stretch between Hirakud and Narsinghpur had practically no macrophytes. This trend continued even at Sasang and Baideshwar regions of the lower stretch of river Mahanadi. Sasang had scanty representation of Vallisneria spiralis and Crinum defixum in the crevices of rocky boulders and of Salvinia molesta sporadically after the flood season. Baideshwar and its opposite side Gopinathpur exhibited only stray occurrence of Vallisneria spiralis at the marginal areas of blind off-shoots of the main river course.

At Bankigarh, Vallisneria spiralis showed its settlement along the shallow areas (1.5-2.5 m depth) near the banks. The density was poor (3-5 kg m⁻²) due to existence of Oriza sativa patches at depth of 0.25-0.5 m. During flood season, the area receives floating weeds, Eichhornia crassipes and Salvinia molesta at a density of 20-25 and 5-10 kg m⁻² respectively. Subarnapur stretch had thick marginal belt of Vallisneria spiralis (>100 kg m⁻²) at depth of 1-2 m with patchy representation of Brachiaria cruciformis and scanty distribution of Oriza sativa almost round the year. Similarly, at Cuttack region, mixed distribution of Alternanthera sessilis, Canna glauca, Centella asiatica, Cynodon dactylon, Ipomoea indica, Marsilea quadrifolia, Oryza sativa and Vallisneria spiralis near the banks were also scanty (2-3 kg m⁻²). But the abundance of Eichhornia crassipes was quite high especially after the monsoon (5-15 kg m⁻²) and that to near the barrage and Jobra area. During summer months, the density of these weeds declined to less than 20%.

Upper estuarine stretch from Tirtal to Taldanda exhibited uniform pattern of hydrophyte distribution at a density of 0.1-0.2 kg m⁻² along the shallow areas of the bank up to 1.0 m depth. These marginal plants mainly comprised Brachiaria cruciformis, Centella asiatica, Colocasia esculenta, Coix lacryma-jobi, Cynodon dactylon, Ipomoea indica, Oryza sativa and Sagittaria sagittifolia. After the monsoon run-off, Eichhornia crassipes and Salvinia molesta are washed down into the stretch at varying density and are settled here and there during the post-monsoon months as stray population, which mostly perish during pre-monsoon season due to exposure and sun drying at the river margins. In the lower estuary near Kujang, stray occurrence of Oryza sativa and Cynodon dactylon near the marginal areas were noticed especially during monsoon season.

Paradip area barring mangrove vegetations, do not have other types of hydrophytes. Among mangroves of the region, Excoecaria agallocha, Acanthus ilicifolius, Phoenix paludosa etc. are quite common along the banks. Thus, it was observed that the down stretches of the Mahanadi and its distributaries have 34 species of macrophytes belonging to 21 families either as aquatic weeds or marginal plants. While very few of them are local resident flora, most of the species are washed down the river course or from the adjoining nullahs, fields and tributaries during monsoon floods. Thus, even freshwater species migrate to brackishwater region and getting Perished during pre-monsoon season. Occurrence of Oryza sativa at places are the result of washing from the riparian paddy plots whereas presence of Brachiaria cruciformis is natural distribution of the resident species.

The details of the plant species are given below:

**Nymphaeaceae** - *Euryale ferox, Nelumbo nucifera, N. pentapetala, Nymphaea stellata.*

**Trapaecae** - *Trapa bispinosa*

---
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Azollaceae - Azolla pinnata
Potamogelaceae - Eichhornia crassipes
Lemnaceae - Lemna perpusilla, Wolffia arrhiza, Spirodela polyrhiza
Araceae - Pistia stratiotes, Colocasia esculenta
Salvinaceae - Salvinia molesta
Amaranthaceae - Alternanthera sessilis
Scrophulariaceae - Asteriocantha longifolia, Bacopa monnieri
Gramineae - Brachiaria cruciformis, Coix lacryma jobi, Cynodon dactylon, Oriza sativa, Saccharum spontaerum
Cannaceae - Canna glauca
Umbelliferae - Centella asiatica
Amaryllidaceae - Crinum defixum
Compositae - Enhydra fluctuans
Convolvulaceae - Ipomea aquatica, I. indica
Onagraceae - Jussiaea repens
Marsileaceae - Marsilea quadrifoliata
Alismataceae - Sagittaria sagitifolia
Typhaceae - Typha elephantia
Najadaceae - Najas indica
Hydrocharitaceae - Ottelia alismoides, Vallisneria spiralis

Aquatic Insects
Upstream stretch between Sihawa and Hirakud had prevalence of aquatic insects, especially in the areas with stony river beds. Though in the tow net collection, insect population occurred at a fair number at all the sampling sites during monsoon and post-monsoon months, their density and diversity were different. The following entomological fauna were recorded from the stretch:

Hemiptera - Nepa sp., Ranatra filiformes, Notonecta sp., Gerris spinolae, Plea sp., Anisops sp., Corixa hieroglyphica, Belostoma sp., Laccotrephes maculatus, Lithocerus indicus, Galastocoris bufo.

Ephemeroptera - Caenis sp., Cloeon sp.
Odonata - Aphylla sp., Lestes sp., Gomphus sp., Hagenius sp., Anax sp., Agria sp.
Diptera - Chironomus sp., Chaoborus sp., Simutium sp., Proboscia sp.
Placoptera - Isogenus sp.
Trichoptera - Hydropsyche sp.

During pre-monsoon months, Nepa sp. and dragonfly populations dominated the sites. Though aquatic insects were available at all the sites during post-monsoon and in the entire stretch barring Sihawa during pre-monsoon months, they were encountered only at four sites namely Dhamtari, Belsondha, Mahadeopalli and Tamdei during monsoon months.

In the middle stretch between Hirakud and Narasinghpur the distribution of aquatic insects among seasons and sites were quite uneven and random. In all seasons, Durgapalli, Kewatpalli, Baudh, Kurdi, Harbhanga, Kutri, Kokaloba and Narsinghpur centres exhibited practically no
insect population due to rapid water current. However, barring the region between Sambalpur and Binka, stretches near Sonepur, Baunsuni and Tikerpara had peak insect populations of 31, 62 and 92 nos m\(^{-2}\) respectively during monsoon months with a reduction of about 10% in summer months. The insect density during monsoon was higher at Sambalpur–Binka stretch (61-1173 nos m\(^{-2}\)). Contributions of major insects were around 17.7% (dragonfly), 13.0% (Agria sp.), 12.2% (Cordulia sp.), 7.2% (Hyponuera sp.), 6.7% (mosquito larvae), 6.6% (Granleans), 5.6% (mosquito), 5.2% (spongilla fly), 5.2% (aquatic spider), 5.2% (Cynigma sp), 4.5% (Dorocordulia sp.), 4.4% (Eualagma sp.), etc. especially during post-monsoon.

Similar to middle stretch, most of the regions in the downstream exhibited very poor population of insects. The overall densities varied from 124-213, 2-17 and 29-83 nos m\(^{-2}\) during post-, pre- and monsoon months in the downstream below Sasang.

The following aquatic insects were recorded from the downstream during the studies:

- **Ephemeroptera** - Caenis sp.,
- **Odonata** - Anax sp., Agria sp., Enallagma sp., Urothemis signata,
- **Hemiptera** - Ranatra elongata, Notonecta sp., Gerris spinola, Plea sp., Anisops sp., Corixa hieroglyphica, Belostoma sp., Laccotrephes ruber, Lithocerus indicus.
- **Coleoptera** - Berosus indicus.
- **Trichoptera** - Nymphula sp.
- **Diptera** - Culex sp., Chironomus sp., Probezzia sp., Tabanus sp.

**ENERGY TRANSFORMATION THROUGH PRIMARY PRODUCTION**

Measurement of rate of conversion of kinetic energy of sun to potential chemical energy of food by chlorophyll bearing organisms gives a dependable parameter to assess the production potential of any aquatic system. The rate of energy transformation by producers, its assimilation and fish production potential in the three stretches of river Mahanadi are presented in Table 14-16.

**Table 14: Energy transformation in river Mahanadi (upper stretch)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Gross energy fixation rate (Cal m(^{-2}) d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Net energy fixation rate (Cal m(^{-2}) d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Community respiration (Cal m(^{-2}) d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Assimilation energy (%)</th>
<th>Energy lost as respiration (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sihawa</td>
<td>5108</td>
<td>2865</td>
<td>2243</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhamtari</td>
<td>5989</td>
<td>4539</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajim</td>
<td>5418</td>
<td>3412</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapajhar</td>
<td>3735</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>1741</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belsondha</td>
<td>4107</td>
<td>2712</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirpur</td>
<td>3839</td>
<td>2142</td>
<td>1797</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>44.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seorinarayan</td>
<td>4808</td>
<td>2424</td>
<td>2384</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandrapur</td>
<td>5059</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>2059</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahadeopalli</td>
<td>3447</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>1458</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surajgarh</td>
<td>5137</td>
<td>2758</td>
<td>2379</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chikhli</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>3339</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamdei</td>
<td>5676</td>
<td>3398</td>
<td>2278</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>4826</strong></td>
<td><strong>2881</strong></td>
<td><strong>1945</strong></td>
<td><strong>59.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>40.3</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 15: Energy transformation in river Mahanadi (middle stretch)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Gross energy fixation rate (Cal m⁻³ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Net energy fixation rate (Cal m⁻³ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Community respiration (Cal m⁻³ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Assimilation energy (%)</th>
<th>Energy lost as respiration (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Durgapalli</td>
<td>5321</td>
<td>2970</td>
<td>2351</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>44.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambalpur</td>
<td>6267</td>
<td>4482</td>
<td>1784</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shankhalia</td>
<td>3312</td>
<td>2187</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhama</td>
<td>3083</td>
<td>2224</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laharsara</td>
<td>2855</td>
<td>1713</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16: Energy transformation in river Mahanadi (lower stretch)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Gross energy fixation rate (Cal m⁻³ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Net energy fixation rate (Cal m⁻³ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Community respiration (Cal m⁻³ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Assimilation energy (%)</th>
<th>Energy lost as respiration (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sasang</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baideshwar</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankigarh</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarnapur</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuttack</td>
<td>1554</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirtal</td>
<td>2946</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taldanda</td>
<td>3437</td>
<td>2160</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>37.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kujang</td>
<td>5479</td>
<td>3476</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradip</td>
<td>2249</td>
<td>1554</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2459</td>
<td>1597</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the upper stretch between Sihawa and Tamdei, the rates of gross and net productions (cal m⁻³ d⁻¹) ranged between 3447 and 5989 and 1988 & 4539 respectively. The rates were maximum in Dhamtari and minimum in Mahadeopalli with an average of 4826 and 2881cal respectively in the entire stretch. The respiratory loss of energy (cal m⁻³ d⁻¹) ranged between 1395 and 2384 (av. 1945). Studies in the stretch reflected that almost 50.4 to 75.8% of the gross energy was actually
stored by them and the rest was lost as heat of respiration. In the middle stretch between Durgapalli and Narsinghpur, the gross energy (cal m\(^{-2}\)d\(^{-1}\)) fixed by producers ranged between 2551 and 6267 and net energy between 1561 and 4482. Both the rates were minimum in Baunsuni and maximum at Sambalpur. The high rate of energy fixation may be due to more static condition of the river at Sambalpur with feeble current while the fast current at Baunsuni resulted in decline in the rate. The average gross and net energy (cal m\(^{-2}\)d\(^{-1}\)) fixation rates in the entire stretch were 3734 and 2395. Both the rates were comparatively lower in this stretch than the upper stretch. The rates of respiration loss of energy (cal m\(^{-2}\)d\(^{-1}\)) ranged between 1125 and 4482 (av. 1325). It has been observed that almost 55.8 to 74.4% (av. 64.2%) of the gross energy fixed by producers was stored by them and the rest was lost as heat of respiration.

In the lower stretch of the river the energy fixation rate was low as compared to other two stretches. The gross and net fixation rate (cal m\(^{-2}\)d\(^{-1}\)) ranged from 1146 to 5479 and 736 to 3476 between Sasang and Paradip. Maximum rates were observed at Kujang and minimum in Subarnapur. The average gross and net energy fixation rates (cal m\(^{-2}\)d\(^{-1}\)) were 2459 and 1597. Almost 62.8 to 69.1% of the gross energy fixed by producers was stored by them and the rest was lost as respiration.

Productivity of any water body directly depends on the rate with which producers convert light energy into chemical energy, because this is the available energy which flows to higher trophic levels. The average rate (cal m\(^{-2}\)d\(^{-1}\)) of gross energy fixation was 3673 and net assimilation of energy was 2291 cal m\(^{-2}\)d\(^{-1}\). The rate of energy in a number of rivers in the country like Ganga, Yamuna, Ghagra, Sone, Brhamaputra etc. were within the range (cal m\(^{-2}\)d\(^{-1}\)) of 1752 to 5060. The values observed in the present studies can be well compared with those observed in other rivers. The energy transformation rate observed in many other water bodies like lakes, reservoirs etc. are comparatively much higher than riverine system but the energy converted and stored at the first trophic level is sufficient to sustain the consumers at various levels in the system. The potential energy fixed at primary level depends mainly on producer population besides light energy and nutrient availability. As the producer population in various stretches was comparatively lower and this may be one of the cause for poor energy transformation rate. Apart from the autotrophic source of energy, river also receives large amount of energy from allochthonous sources through the catchment and thus:

\[
\text{Total energy available = Autochthonous source + Allochthonous source (energy fixed by producers)}
\]

As the various stretches of river Mahanadi (especially middle stretch) flows through deep forest, the amount of energy brought from the catchment in the form of organic debris is of high order. Considerable zonal variations have been observed with respect to rate of energy fixation by producers. The rate was higher in the areas where the river had feeble current, almost stagnant conditions prevailed and it was minimum in the fast current areas. It is thus obvious that even within the same system, the stagnant conditions favoured productivity.

**FISH PRODUCTION POTENTIAL OF RIVER MAHANADI**

Many workers have used energy flow models to evaluate the fish production potential of aquatic ecosystem. According to second law of thermodynamics, in passing from one trophic level to the other almost 90% of the energy is lost and only 10% of energy is available to the next level. This concept has been applied to calculate the potential energy resource at a particular level in the system. It was observed that in a natural aquatic system having wide spectrum of fishes belonging to various trophic levels, the energy available at fish level may be taken as 1% of gross or 0.5% of
net energy fixed by producers. The fish production potential of river Mahanadi has been estimated by taking 0.5% of net energy fixed by producers as energy available at fish level and the data are presented in Fig. 8.

**Upper stretch**

- ![Graph showing fish production potential for the upper stretch of the river Mahanadi]

**Middle stretch**

- ![Graph showing fish production potential for the middle stretch of the river Mahanadi]

**Lower stretch**

- ![Graph showing fish production potential for the lower stretch of the river Mahanadi]

Fig. 8: Fish production potential of river Mahanadi
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In the upper stretch, the fish production potential (kg ha\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\)) ranged between 68.8 and 157.1, being maximum at Dhamtari and minimum at Mahadeopalli. The average fish production potential in this stretch was 99.7 kg ha\(^{-1}\). The potential (kg ha\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\)) in the middle stretch was in the range 54.0 to 155.2, being maximum at Sambalpur and minimum at Baunsuni. The average production potential in this stretch was 82.9 kg ha\(^{-1}\), being comparatively lower than the upper stretch. In the lower stretch, the fish production potential ranged between 25.5 and 120.4 kg ha\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\), being minimum at Subarnapur and maximum at Kujang. The average potential in this stretch of the river was 55.3 kg ha\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\), comparatively lower than the other two stretches. The average fish production potential in the entire Mahanadi was estimated at 79.3 kg ha\(^{-1}\) y\(^{-1}\). It has been noticed that the average fish production potential observed in this river is comparatively better than many other rivers of India and as such the river has tremendous fishery potential.

**FISH AND FISHERIES**

Fish fauna of river Mahanadi excluding its deltaic portion reported by many workers earlier is given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notopteridae</td>
<td><em>Notopterus chitala</em>, <em>Notopterus notopterus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clupeidae</td>
<td><em>Gadusia chapra</em>, <em>Gonialosa maminna</em>, <em>Ilisha motius</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprinidae</td>
<td><em>Catla catla</em>, <em>Cirrhina mrigala</em>, <em>C. reba</em>, <em>Labeo bata</em>, <em>L. callbasu</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>L. dyocheilus</em>, <em>L. fimbriatus</em>, <em>L. gonius</em>, <em>L. rohita</em>, <em>L. boga</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>L. dero</em>, <em>L. bogut</em>, <em>L. ariza</em>, <em>Osteobrama vigorsii</em>, <em>O. cotio</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>O. cotio cunna</em>, <em>Puntius amphibious</em>, <em>P. gelius</em>, <em>P. sarana</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. sophore</em>, <em>P. ticto</em>, <em>P. chola</em>, <em>P. conchonius</em>, <em>P. guganios</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. tetrarupagus</em>, <em>P. dorsalis</em>, <em>Tor masal mahanadicus</em>, <em>T. khudree</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Laubuca laubuca</em>, <em>Chela bacaia</em>, <em>C. gora</em>, <em>C. untrahi</em>, <em>C. clupoides</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. dero</em>, <em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. ariza</em>, <em>Osteobrama vigorsii</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>O. cotio cunna</em>, <em>Puntius amphibious</em>, <em>P. gelius</em>, <em>P. sarana</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. sophore</em>, <em>P. ticto</em>, <em>P. chola</em>, <em>P. conchonius</em>, <em>P. guganios</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. tetrarupagus</em>, <em>P. dorsalis</em>, <em>Tor masal mahanadicus</em>, <em>T. khudree</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Laubuca laubuca</em>, <em>Chela bacaia</em>, <em>C. gora</em>, <em>C. untrahi</em>, <em>C. clupoides</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. dero</em>, <em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. ariza</em>, <em>Osteobrama vigorsii</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>O. cotio cunna</em>, <em>Puntius amphibious</em>, <em>P. gelius</em>, <em>P. sarana</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. sophore</em>, <em>P. ticto</em>, <em>P. chola</em>, <em>P. conchonius</em>, <em>P. guganios</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. tetrarupagus</em>, <em>P. dorsalis</em>, <em>Tor masal mahanadicus</em>, <em>T. khudree</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Laubuca laubuca</em>, <em>Chela bacaia</em>, <em>C. gora</em>, <em>C. untrahi</em>, <em>C. clupoides</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. dero</em>, <em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. ariza</em>, <em>Osteobrama vigorsii</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>O. cotio cunna</em>, <em>Puntius amphibious</em>, <em>P. gelius</em>, <em>P. sarana</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. sophore</em>, <em>P. ticto</em>, <em>P. chola</em>, <em>P. conchonius</em>, <em>P. guganios</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. tetrarupagus</em>, <em>P. dorsalis</em>, <em>Tor masal mahanadicus</em>, <em>T. khudree</em>,</td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td><em>Laubuca laubuca</em>, <em>Chela bacaia</em>, <em>C. gora</em>, <em>C. untrahi</em>, <em>C. clupoides</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. dero</em>, <em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. ariza</em>, <em>Osteobrama vigorsii</em>,</td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td><em>O. cotio cunna</em>, <em>Puntius amphibious</em>, <em>P. gelius</em>, <em>P. sarana</em>,</td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td><em>P. sophore</em>, <em>P. ticto</em>, <em>P. chola</em>, <em>P. conchonius</em>, <em>P. guganios</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. tetrarupagus</em>, <em>P. dorsalis</em>, <em>Tor masal mahanadicus</em>, <em>T. khudree</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Laubuca laubuca</em>, <em>Chela bacaia</em>, <em>C. gora</em>, <em>C. untrahi</em>, <em>C. clupoides</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. dero</em>, <em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. ariza</em>, <em>Osteobrama vigorsii</em>,</td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td><em>O. cotio cunna</em>, <em>Puntius amphibious</em>, <em>P. gelius</em>, <em>P. sarana</em>,</td>
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<td><em>P. sophore</em>, <em>P. ticto</em>, <em>P. chola</em>, <em>P. conchonius</em>, <em>P. guganios</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. tetrarupagus</em>, <em>P. dorsalis</em>, <em>Tor masal mahanadicus</em>, <em>T. khudree</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Laubuca laubuca</em>, <em>Chela bacaia</em>, <em>C. gora</em>, <em>C. untrahi</em>, <em>C. clupoides</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. dero</em>, <em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. ariza</em>, <em>Osteobrama vigorsii</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>O. cotio cunna</em>, <em>Puntius amphibious</em>, <em>P. gelius</em>, <em>P. sarana</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. sophore</em>, <em>P. ticto</em>, <em>P. chola</em>, <em>P. conchonius</em>, <em>P. guganios</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. tetrarupagus</em>, <em>P. dorsalis</em>, <em>Tor masal mahanadicus</em>, <em>T. khudree</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Laubuca laubuca</em>, <em>Chela bacaia</em>, <em>C. gora</em>, <em>C. untrahi</em>, <em>C. clupoides</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. dero</em>, <em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. ariza</em>, <em>Osteobrama vigorsii</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>O. cotio cunna</em>, <em>Puntius amphibious</em>, <em>P. gelius</em>, <em>P. sarana</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. sophore</em>, <em>P. ticto</em>, <em>P. chola</em>, <em>P. conchonius</em>, <em>P. guganios</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. tetrarupagus</em>, <em>P. dorsalis</em>, <em>Tor masal mahanadicus</em>, <em>T. khudree</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Laubuca laubuca</em>, <em>Chela bacaia</em>, <em>C. gora</em>, <em>C. untrahi</em>, <em>C. clupoides</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. dero</em>, <em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. ariza</em>, <em>Osteobrama vigorsii</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>O. cotio cunna</em>, <em>Puntius amphibious</em>, <em>P. gelius</em>, <em>P. sarana</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. sophore</em>, <em>P. ticto</em>, <em>P. chola</em>, <em>P. conchonius</em>, <em>P. guganios</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. tetrarupagus</em>, <em>P. dorsalis</em>, <em>Tor masal mahanadicus</em>, <em>T. khudree</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Laubuca laubuca</em>, <em>Chela bacaia</em>, <em>C. gora</em>, <em>C. untrahi</em>, <em>C. clupoides</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. dero</em>, <em>C. boggut</em>, <em>C. ariza</em>, <em>Osteobrama vigorsii</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>O. cotio cunna</em>, <em>Puntius amphibious</em>, <em>P. gelius</em>, <em>P. sarana</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. sophore</em>, <em>P. ticto</em>, <em>P. chola</em>, <em>P. conchonius</em>, <em>P. guganios</em>,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. tetrarupagus</em>, <em>P. dorsalis</em>, <em>Tor masal mahanadicus</em>, <em>T. khudree</em>,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mugilidae
Ambassidae
Sciaenidae
Nandidae
Gobiidae
Belontidae
Channidae
Mastacembalidae
Anguillidae

Mugil corsula
Ambassis nama, A. ranga, A. baculis
Johnius dussumieri
Nandus nandus, Badis badis
Glossogobius giuris, Awaous stamineus
Colisa fasciata
Ophiocephalus gachua, O. punctatus, O. striatus, O. marulius
Mastacembelus armatus, M. punctatus, Macrognathus aculeatus
Anguilla bengalensis

Fish Fauna of Upper Stretch in River Mahanadi

During present survey, 85 fish species belonging to 23 families were recorded from the upper stretch. These include 68 species out of 102 recorded earlier and 17 new species under 8 families as listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyprinidae</td>
<td>Labeo pangusia, Puntius phutunio, Tor tor, Salmostoma phulo, Chela dadidurjori, chela fasciata, Danio malabaricas, Securicula gora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sisoridae</td>
<td>Ompok pabda, Ompok pabo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplocheilidae</td>
<td>Nagra viridescens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplocheilidae</td>
<td>Aplocheilus panchax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synbranchidae</td>
<td>Amphipnous cuchia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciaenidae</td>
<td>Johnius coitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cichlidae</td>
<td>Oreochromis mossambica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anabantidae</td>
<td>Anabas testudineus, Colisa lalia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siluridae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sisoridae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among prawns, Macrobrachium lamarrei was available in the stretch above Hirakud. It was reported that before the construction of Hirakud Dam, M. malcolmsonii was also available in the Tel river, a tributary of river Mahanadi.

The landing pattern of fish catch based on the survey of local fish market revealed that in upper stretch catfishes (39.9%) were dominant followed by minnows (29.7%), miscellaneous (16.2%) and major carps (14.2%). Among catfishes, W. attu, A. aor, A. seenghala, E. vacha and C. garua occurred significantly in pre- and post-monsoon seasons. R. chrysea was also recorded in pre-monsoon. During monsoon, A. coila and S. silondia contributed significantly. Minnows comprising Puntius spp., Chela spp., Barilius spp., Nemacheilus sp., Glossogobius sp., Gudusia sp., Osteobrama sp. and Chanda sp. occurred mainly during post-monsoon whereas, Danio sp., Parluciosoma sp. and Eosomus sp. were important in pre-monsoon months.

Special Features of Landing

The occurrence of S. silondia (25%) at Raigarh market with a big specimen (800 mm/5 kg) was quite significant during post-monsoon months. Presence of its young ones in the catch indicates
the breeding of the fish in the river. During pre-monsoon the catch of major carps (40%) was remarkable and the availability of *N. chitala* (180-960 mm/0.1-7.0 kg) at Raigarh is also worth mentioning.

The relative occurrence of minnows was more from Dhamtari to Chandrapur whereas the prevalence of catfishes was comparatively high from Chandrapur downwards, especially during post- and pre-monsoon periods. Monsoon campaign indicated that catches from the upper stretch were lower (22.3%) than the catches from the middle part (77.7%). The peak fishing season is post-monsoon when flood recedes and during summer only deep pools offer ample scope for fishing as the stream becomes extremely shallow. Even during post-monsoon months shallow stretches of the river exhibit insignificant fishing.

In 1975, Chandrapur was established as the best site for spawn prospection when Devarghatta pool near the confluence of Sheonath was also identified as a good collection centre for major carp spawn, which in turn helped improving the major carp fisheries during monsoon. Precious mahseer seed could also be collected at that time from this pool. Occurrence of fingerlings at Chandrapur further confirms breeding of major carps in the upstream.

*Tor mosal mahanadicus* was recorded from Chandrapur and Devarghatta pools though the yield was negligible. Before Hirakud dam, its fishery was significant but now even in Hirakud reservoir, it is poor.

The miscellaneous group was represented by *L. bata*, *L. fimbriatus*, *C. reba*, *P. sarana*, *Notopterus* sp., *C. batrachus*, *A. testudineus*, *Channa* sp., etc. The greater occurrence of *C. reba* during pre-monsoon at Chandrapur was remarkable.

Interestingly, *C. batrachus* was available in river Mahanadi. Tribal fishermen reported collection of seed of *C. batrachus* near Sihawa during July to November. Juveniles of *C. batrachus* and *A. testudineus* were also available at Dhamtari, Rajim and Mahasamund areas. It was observed that the main fish markets like Dhamtari, Chandrapur and Raigarh are exclusively governed by the fishermen. The damming of the river has affected the fishery of the stretch. Before construction of Ravishankar Sagar dam, about 20 quintals of fish used to be landed every day at Dhamtari during 1965-70. Contribution of murrels was significant followed by major and minor carps. *C. batrachus* and *A. testudineus* were also available in plenty. Likewise, the good catch of *Tor mosal mahanadicus* has also dwindled after the construction of the Hirakud dam.

The catch declines during pre-monsoon months (May-June) as the river stretch between Sihawa and Dudhawa gets completely dried. Afterwards, some water is available near Dhamtari due to discharge from Rudri barrage. The stretch is pretty shallow up to Chandrapur where after the condition improves. On its way to Chandrapur the stretch at Rajim had some water in weed choked condition. The decline in water flow into pools was responsible for the increase in minnows population.

**Fish Fauna of the Middle Stretch**

In all 30 commercially important fish species under 11 families besides other miscellaneous fishes and priced prawns were recorded from this stretch as listed below:

- **Cyprinidae**
  - *Catla catla*, *Cirrhinus mrigala*, *C. reba*, *Labeo rohita*, *L. bata*, *L. calbasu*,
  - *Cyprinus carpio*, *Puntius sarana*, *Tor tor*, *T. khudree*, *T. putitora*,
  - *Chela chela*,
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In the middle stretch, the fish landing centres like Durgapalli, Sambalpur, Dhama, Binka, Sonepur, Baunsuni, Baudh, Harbhanga, Tikerpara and Narsinghpur contributed 95% of the total catch from the stretch. Post-monsoon period was the peak fishing season (34-250 kg/day/centre; av. 107 kg/day/centre). During pre-monsoon and monsoon seasons, the fishing was impeded and the catch was extremely low (5-40 kg/day/centre; av. 16 kg/day/centre).

**Special Feature of Landings**

During monsoons only, *Rita chrysea* appeared and dominated the commercial catches (30-75%; av. 38%) from Durgapalli to Harbhanga barring Sambalpur, Binka and Kewatpalli, *Cirrhinus mrigala* (30-35%) and *Labeo rohita* (27-30%) were the main contributors. *C. mrigala* contributed significantly from Dhama to Baudh even during post-monsoon. *Ilisha motius* was available in plenty (40-45%) during monsoon only from Kutri to Narsinghpur.

The occurrence of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (8-15%) was observed in the stretch from Sonepur to Narsinghpur during monsoon season. *Macrobrachium malcolmsoni* contributed 20% of the catch of Sonepur during post-monsoon season. The contribution of larger fish declined and that of *Macrobrachium lamarrei* increased gradually during summer months.

**Juvenile Fishery of Middle Stretch**

Considerable catch of juveniles (10 kg/day) of economically important fishes was recorded in the landings during post-monsoon months in middle stretch. It comprised nearly 40% of desirable species.

**Fish Fauna of Lower Stretch**

The stretch between Sasang and Cuttack is principally a sector for freshwater fish species. The stretch from Tirtal to Taldanda is located below Cuttack. This upper part of the estuary also possesses many freshwater fish species. Kujang to Paradip is the brackishwater zone of the estuary where marine fishes also enter from the sea.

In the stretch from Sasang to Cuttack, 63 fish species belonging to 21 families were recorded in the survey. The stretch from Tirtal to Taldanda registered 56 species of 27 families and the brackishwater zone had the maximum number of 78 species from 48 families. Thus, in all 197 fish species were recorded.
species were recorded from the lower stretch of river Mahanadi. Besides, the following prawns were recorded from various sectors of the downstream:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stretch</th>
<th>Prawn species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sasang to Cuttack</td>
<td>Macrobrachium rosenbergii, M. malcolmsonii, M. dyanum, M. lamarrei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirtal to Taldanda</td>
<td>Macrobrachium rosenbergii, M. malcolmsonii, M. rude, Metapenaeus monoceros, M. brevicornis, Leander styliferus, Penaeus monodon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kujang to Paradip</td>
<td>Macrobrachium rosenbergii, M. rude, Metapenaeus monoceros, M. brevicornis, Leander styliferus, Penaeus indicus, P. monodon, P. carinatus, Acetes indicus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special Feature of the Landings**

A review of the biodiversity pattern of fish species from downstream of Mahanadi reveals that species like *Labeo gonius*, *L. fimbriatus*, *L. bata*, *Tor mosal mahanadicus*, *Barilius barila*, *Brachydanio rario*, *Chela untrahi*, *Notiopterus chitala*, *Settipinna phasus*, *Ompok pabo*, *O. pabda*, *Ailuca coila*, *Clupisoma garua*, *Silonia silondia*, *Nagra viridescens*, *Bagarius bagarius*, *Rita chrysea*, *Xenentodon cancila*, *Nandus nandus*, *Rhinomugil corsula*, *Mystus cavasius* are distributed mainly in the stretch above Bankigarh. There are other species like *Gudusia chapra*, *Puntius ticto*, *Ostiobrama vigorsii*, *O. cotio cotio*, *Mystus tengra*, *Heteropneustes fossilis*, *Clarias batrachus*, *Pseudoambassis ranga*, *Channa gachua* and *Mastacembelus armatus* also, which exhibits similar exclusive distribution above Bankigarh. In the estuarine sector of the downstream of Mahanadi, Tirtal had some representation of Cyprinids, but at a very low magnitude. Likewise, *Ilisha motius*, *Ophichthus microcephalus*, *Gagata gagata*, *Amphipnous cuchia*, *Odontamblyopus rubicondus*, *Channa punctata*, *C. striatus* and *C. marulius* were mostly available near Cuttack and that towards Jholasahi and Uttampur. It is not that the catches from the estuary had freshwater fishes from upper reaches and euryhaline species from the lower reaches alone. Quite a good quantity of stenohaline species and marine species from the coastal zones enter the estuary near Paradip and they are caught there. The most common species among them are *Mene maculata*, *Epinephalus diacanthus*, *E. malabaricus*, *Therapon jarbua*, *Caranx gallus*, *C. para*, *Drepane punctata*, *Polydactylus sextaris*, *Polynemus heptadactylus*, *Kurtas indicus*, *Parastromateus niger*, *Pseudohombus aritus*, *Scoliodon sorriakowah*, *Chilocyllum griseum*, *Rhinobatos obtusus*, *Pseudotracianthus trigilifer*, *Brachyamblypus brachysoma*, *Sphyraena acutipinnis*, *S. lewini*, *Gerres abbreviata* etc.

**Estimated Catch from Lower Stretch**

Hardly 10% of the total catch is hawked house to house otherwise the entire bulk of the landings is disposed off through markets and assembly centres (araths/hats). The peak disposal (> 46%) of estuarine catches of Mahanadi was during December to February in 1960-64, which declined to 26% in April to August (Shetty *et al.*, 1965). A fluctuation in the hilsha landings in the catch from 13.7 to 309.8 t/y was recorded. It is seen that hilsha and other clupeoids used to cross 50% of the landings at times at certain centres. Likewise, prawn landings also reached sometimes 40% of the total catch as noticed at Baideshwar during pre-monsoon. At other centres also, the pattern of contribution remained same as was in the past barring at Paradip.

During present survey, the information regarding fish catches was gathered from the local fishermen and the fish market. The freshwater stretch yielded approximately about 86.22 t/y whereas the contribution of estuarine stretch was found to be 3674.7 t/y. Besides, more than 500 trawlers fish in the continental shelf near Mahanadi mouth and land coastal fishes at Paradip.
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These trawlers operate for about 100 days in a year to fetch about 10 t catch per month per trawler comprising 70% fishes and 30% prawns (including 15% prawn of export quality). Thus, the total landings from the downstream comes to about 20,000 t/y. The landings at Paradip get further complicated as nearly 100 trawlers from Waltair being distressed by cyclonic weather are forced to land their catch 6 to 8 times in a span of two months in a year. Each time, such a trawler makes distressed sell of 20 t of fish (70%) and prawns (30%). In this way, Paradip centre receives an additional catch of 14,000 t/y.

**Fishing Crafts, Gears and Fishermen**

Information regarding fishing crafts, gears and fishermen were collected from different stretches of river Mahanadi during the survey.

**Crafts of upper stretch**: Following two types of boats are used for fishing in the Mahanadi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Craft</th>
<th>Local name</th>
<th>Measurements (m)</th>
<th>Other features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat</td>
<td>Donga</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat</td>
<td>Kishti /Naav</td>
<td>6.0-7.0</td>
<td>0.7-1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gears of upper stretch**: Following 8 types of fishing gears were observed in the upper stretch of river Mahanadi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gears</th>
<th>Local name</th>
<th>Measurement and other details</th>
<th>Commonly caught fishes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long lines</td>
<td>Gadi</td>
<td>10-300 hooks</td>
<td><em>W. attu</em>, <em>Mystus</em> spp., <em>Mastacembelus</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast net</td>
<td>Sanki &amp; Barjal</td>
<td>2.5-5.0 m long. Sinkers weight 5-15 g. Operated round the year.</td>
<td>Carps, catfishes, murrels, minnows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoop net</td>
<td>Pelna</td>
<td>Made of 1/143 mosquito net. A sac like net fitted to triangular bamboo frame</td>
<td>Small fishes from shallow waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill net</td>
<td>Fasla</td>
<td>25-50 m long and 3.0 m deep with 20-80 mm mesh bar having master sinker. Made of nylon twine.</td>
<td>Varying types of fishes from deep pools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Do -</td>
<td>Disco &amp; Fulijal</td>
<td>20-50 m long and 3.0 m deep with 10-50 mm mesh bar having no sinker. Made of monofilament plastic thread.</td>
<td>Different fishes all along the stretch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drag net</td>
<td>Jholi &amp; Jholni</td>
<td>30 m long and 1.0 m deep. Small rectangular net made of 1/243 nylon mosquito net, operated by 4-5 persons.</td>
<td>Mainly small fishes and prawns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Do -</td>
<td>Dholgi</td>
<td>300 m long &amp; 3.5 m deep with 5 mm mesh bar operated by 10-12 persons.</td>
<td>Mainly catches larger fishes at Chikhli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Do -</td>
<td>Kadi</td>
<td>Drag-cum-scoop net, made of round meshed mosquito net, 16.5 m long, 0.5 m broad, 1.0 m deep. Net opening with vertical bamboo sticks placed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Gears

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local name</th>
<th>Measurement and other details</th>
<th>Commonly caught fishes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traps</td>
<td>Dheer, Khandi, Chirahi &amp; Bendwa 0.5 m apart each. Cod end is kept up and during haul mouth of the net is closed</td>
<td>Fry and fingerlings are collected mainly at Surajgarh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Made of bamboo stripes and <em>Jhanau</em> weed</td>
<td>Small fishes and prawns are caught, operated at Belsondha-Sirpur stretch with shallow waters.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fishermen of upper stretch

Siwaha, Sirpur, Chandrapur and Tamdei were found to be the main fishing centres of the stretch of which 12 core centres possess the following fishing population:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of fishermen</th>
<th>No. of fishing families</th>
<th>Structure of fishing population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most of the fisher folk have switched over to other occupations due to non-lucrative fishery failing to generate adequate income. The daily income level of a fisherman is Rs. 10-20/- only on an average.

The details of registered Fisherman Cooperative Societies at the blocks and villages closer to the course of river Mahanadi in the stretch (82 Societies with 3818 members) located at the following centres are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Centre</th>
<th>No. of Societies</th>
<th>No. of members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raipur District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Aurang</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Mahasamand</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Bilaigarh</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Fingseshwar</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Balodabazar</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Nagri</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Kurud</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Dhamtari</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Kasdol</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2888</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bargarh District

<p>| 10.    | Tamdei | 1 | 650 |
| Total  | 1      | 650 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No</th>
<th>Centre</th>
<th>No. of Societies</th>
<th>No. of members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilaspur District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Chhedolia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Kogar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Mulmula</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Sindhul</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Kharod</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Devarghatta</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td><strong>162</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raigarh District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Padigaon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Bargaon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Kaushir</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Mojhapara</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>118</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>82</strong></td>
<td><strong>3818</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crafts, Gears and Fishing Folks of Hirakud Region

The fishing rights vest with the State Fisheries Department since 1960. Dividing the reservoir into 6 sectors, 5 were leased out for fishing to Fishermen Cooperative Societies @ Rs. 39/km². The sixth sector near dam was kept reserved for security purpose. Experimental and licensed non-commercial fishing only was permitted there. More than 94% of around 3000 fishermen scattered over 120 peripheral villages have fisheries as their major means of sustenance. The important gears are three types of gill nets operated at 3-10 m depth, 4-5 types of drag nets (simple to big) operated in silted areas and hooks and lines operated round the year. Gears with 12.5-203.2 mm meshes are operated in spite of regulation prohibiting the use of gill nets with < 101.6 mm mesh size.

Crafts, Gears and Fishers of Middle Stretch

Plank built canoes called Dongas (3.0-4.5 m long, 0.6-0.75 m wide and 0.4-0.6 m deep) capable of carrying 2-3 fishermen each were found to operate all along the middle stretch of the river Mahanadi between Hirakud and Narsinghpur. Gill net fishing was common throughout the stretch. Cast net and scoop net/deep net were restricted to Kutri, Kokaloba and Narsinghpur centre, while usage of hooks and lines was common all over excepting at Binka and Baudh. Light fishing in the night was found to be very common at all the centres. Operation of traps was usual practice of fishing at Sonepur. The traps are of 4 types as follows:
1. Split bamboo basket type, truncated-cone shaped with double trap doors, 1.5 m long
2. Split bamboo basket type, cylindrical in shape with single trap door, 1.5 m long
3. Split bamboo basket type, cylindrical in shape with double trap doors, 1.0 m long
4. Split bamboo basket type, rhomboid shaped with triangular ends and three faced, 2.0 m long body having three lateral trap doors
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Studies on the fishing communities revealed that 41-50 year group was active fishing population contributing maximum (40.38%) followed by 51-60 year group (16.49%), 61-70 year group (12.67%) and 71-80 year group (9.01%). Among the fishing community, 54.16% were real fishermen, 30.16% scheduled caste and 18.4% other classes. Muslims were not involved in the fishing. As much as 48.5, 38.6, 20.7 and 13.0% of them were primary pass, illiterates, middle standard pass and high school level pass respectively. Joint families with 4-7 members, 8-11 members and 12-15 members were 63.00, 30.33 and 6.67% respectively. Nuclear families were more (89.3%) within the community, which exhibited almost 1:1 sex ratio. Among the members of the community, 69.83% were involved in capture fishery and spawn collection, 11.5% in aquaculture, 11.0% in fish processing, 5.5% in other trades and so on. Many fishermen were working as hired labourers during their leisures. None of them had houses, but 83.31% of them possessed boats and gears.

Crafts, Gears and Fishing Folks of Down Stretch

Mostly plank built flat bottomed canoes called Dongas were in use for fishing in the stretches between Sasang and Cuttack. The dimensions of these Dongas varied a lot (3-7 m long, 0.5-1.5 m wide and 0.6-1.3 m high). Besides these Dongas or Dingis, country boats (3.0-4.5 m long, 1.0-1.5 m wide and 0.8-1.0 m high) were recorded as fishing boats at Kujang and Paradip. Paradip had larger mechanized boats.

More than 80% of the large country boats (5-7 m long, 2-3 m wide and 1.0-1.3 m high) at Paradip were converted to mechanized boats. Again at Paradip, there were specially built gill netting boats, wooden trawlers etc. besides trawling vessels and mechanized fiberglass catamarans for coastal fishing. During winter migratory bag net fishing, 2000 more country boats take part in the fishing and for this purpose nearly 6000 migratory fishing folk participate with about 2000 bag nets. Coastal fishing at Paradip is very popular and as such mechanized boats, wooden trawlers, trawling vessels and fiberglass power driven catamarans were operated from two distinct units namely, Sandhakul and Nuabazar bases. Only Sandhakul base had catamarans at the Port area. The details of manpower with their crafts and gears at these two bases are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coastal fishing base</th>
<th>Total no. of fishing folk</th>
<th>No. of active fishing folk</th>
<th>Other details of active fishing folk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sandhakul</td>
<td>4485</td>
<td>1398</td>
<td>274 had own boats and own nets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51 had hired boats and own nets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>365 had hired boats and hired nets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>593 fishing labourers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>115 fish marketing women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuabazar (Operate wooden trawlers only)</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>53 had own boats and own nets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34 had hired boats and hired nets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79 fishing labourers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41 fish marketing women</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Through coastal fishing, 70% fishes (mostly estuarine fishes), 15% exportable prawns and 15% other shrimps were landed at Paradip.

Fishing Gears Operated in the Down Stretch

Mainly 17 types of fishing gears were found in operation at the downstream of river Mahanadi. These gears could be grouped under 8 heads according to their mode of operation. Of course, at
Paradip area, many gears were modified and added to the fishing activities, increasing the total
types of gear varieties to 48 kinds. During the sample collection and survey of the stretch, local
inventories were carried out to ascertain the varieties and the number of fishing gears possessed
by the local fishermen.

In the stretch between Sasang and Cuttack, the widely used fishing nets were cast net (*Bhamar
jal*), seine nets like purse seine (*Ghai jal*) and large seine (*Ber*), small drift net (*Tong* and *Tona jal*),
deep gill nets (*Chandi jal*), set barrier like *Pata jal/Gora jal/Masari jal* and *Salua* (split bamboo mat).

In the upper estuary between Tirtal and Taldanda, the main fishing nets were cast net, bag net
(*Binthi jal*), drag net (*Jalei*), gill nets (*Bhasa jal*) and seine (Purse seine-*Ghai jal*).

In the lower estuary between Kujang and Paradip the fishing nets were cast nets, deep gill nets
(*Chandi jal*), seine nets (*Ghai jal*), bag nets, drag net, small drift net (*Chara jal*), split bamboo mat,
large seine (*Ber*) and boat seine (*Torania*).

**Fishing Folk of the Downstream**

Most of the fishers from the stretch between Narsinghpur and sea-mouth had alternative
occupations other than fisheries also. They remained occasionally engaged themselves in
agricultural activities, worked as casual labourer, cattle maintenance and weaving activities. The
family size of active fishers including their family members are enlisted below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>No. of fishers families</th>
<th>No. of active fishers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sector 1.</strong> Freshwater stretch between Sasang and Cuttack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasang</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baideshwar</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankigarh</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarnapur</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuttack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Chowduar</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Jobra</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Jholassahi</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sector 2.</strong> Upper estuarine stretch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirtal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taldanda</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sector 3.</strong> Lower estuarine stretch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kujang</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradip</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Ramnagar area</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Paradip proper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Multi gear operator</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Gill netters</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Seasonal bag net users</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Downstream of Mahanadi had five Cooperative Societies for the riparian fishermen. The Societies rendered financial help to the fishing member to purchase and maintain their boats, gears and other fishing tools. In lieu of this the catch was marketed under the control of Societies. The salient features of these Societies are as follows:

1. **Ma Bansali Karnadhara Samiti** – The Fishermen Cooperative Society was located at Anulipatna, 3 km downstream of Taldanda in the upper estuary. The Society had 30 members having 10 boats, 30 bag nets (Binthi jal), 30 surface gill nets (Bhasa jal) and 10 deep gill nets (Chandi jal).

2. **Kalinga Karnadhara Samabaye Samiti** – This Society was located at Nuabazar area of Paradip. It had nearly 609 members from Paradip and Ramnagar areas who operated wooden trawlers mainly.

3. **Anusupa Primary Cooperative Fisheries Society** – The Society was located at Subarnapur. It had 1000 members of which 400 were active fishermen. These fishers used to fish in the main river as well as in Anusupa Lake under the administrative control of the Society.

4. **Chowduar Primary Fishermen Cooperative Society** – The Society was located at Chowduar with the fishers of the Cuttack anicut near Chowduar. Presently, it had about 60 member who used to bring their catches to Cuttack market near barrage.

5. **Bansali Primary Fishermen Cooperative Society** – The Society was located at Uttampur, on the opposite bank of Cuttack. It had nearly 200 members who disposed their catch at Jholassahi market in Cuttack.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Ecological status and production dynamics of river Mahanadi was studied from origin to sea mouth.

The average depth of the river ranged from 2.0 to 5.0 m and current velocity 0.51 to 1.613 km h⁻¹. Both depth and current velocity were comparatively higher in the middle stretch.

Sediment from the entire system was dominated by sand (85.8 to 97.5%) having poor to moderate organic carbon, poor available nutrients and near neutral to alkaline pH.

Water was rich in oxygen and moderately high in respect of alkalinity, conductance, dissolved solids, calcium and hardness with distinctly alkaline pH. Although dissolved organic matter was high, the nutrient status, both in respect of nitrate and phosphate was poor in the entire stretch.

Water quality parameters like temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, carbonate and bicarbonate showed considerable diurnal variations in all the stretches, the intensity being more where the water was stagnant. The diurnal variations in water quality got reflected in the distribution of plankton.

Plankton population did not show any marked variation in the entire river stretch. The overall plankton abundance fluctuated between 106-133 ul⁻¹. Phytoplankton (59.9%) remained dominant component throughout the stretch barring a few exceptions. In all 244 planktonic forms were recorded of which 93 in upper, 57 in middle and 94 in lower stretches.

Macrobenthos decreased from upper stretch (840 nos m⁻²) to lower stretch (135 nos m⁻²). Gastropods (40-61%) and bivalves (6-21%) were important in the entire river stretch. Zonal variation in other benthic group was noticed with prevalence of dipterans in upper stretch, nematodes in middle and annelid worms in lower stretch of the river.
The upper stretch exhibited comparatively rich epiphytes due to its hard substrate with rocks and boulders. This stretch had greater occurrence of aquatic insects also especially in the stony regions.

The clear water, fast current, stony and sandy beds did not allow the macrovegetation to grow in the entire river system. Some aquatic weeds were recorded in the upper stretch.

312 fish species were recorded, of which 85 from upper, 30 from middle and 197 from lower stretches. Catfishes were dominant followed by minnows, miscellaneous and major carps in upper stretch. In middle stretch, catfishes and carps were equally important. Freshwater sector and upper part of the estuary below Cuttack in downstream had freshwater fish species. Marine fishes also usually enter from the sea in brackish water zone of the estuary.

The rate of gross and net energy (cal m⁻² d⁻¹) transformation by producers was on an average 4826 and 2881 in the upper, 3734 and 2395 in the middle and 2459 and 1597 in the lower stretches with an overall average of 3673 and 2291 in the entire system.

The average fish production potential (kg ha⁻¹ y⁻¹) was 99.7 in the upper stretch, 82.9 in the middle and 55.3 in the lower stretch. The overall fish production potential in the entire river system was 79.3 kg ha⁻¹ y⁻¹, which was comparatively higher than many other river systems in the country.
Mahanadi Originates at Sihawa in Dist. Raipur

Exposed river-bed of Mahanadi during summer
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Rudri canal in upper stretch of Mahanadi

Water holding above the barrage
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Ruver shrinking below the barrage
Monsoon view of river Mahanadi
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Silonia silondia from upper stretch of Mahanadi

Hybrid carp in river Mahanadi
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Retail selling of river catches by fisher women in local market
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Scoop net (Pelna)

Scoop net (Pelna)
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Fish traps (Bendwa)
Ecological sampling work in Mahanadi

Spawn collection through shooting net
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Socio-economics of Mahanadi Stakeholders
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